

# Mastering Security Requirements Engineering

February 24, 2021 with Thomas Kerbl





#### **Thomas Kerbl**

**Principal Security Consultant** 

- 20+ years experience in information security
- 50+ speeches
- Service Owner for "Secure Software Development Consulting"
- Teamleader
- Security Analyst, Security Architect

#### **Education**

- MSc @ Technikum Vienna, Specialization in Multimedia & Software Development
- Dipl. Ing @ Hagenberg, Specialization in Computer- and Media Security

#### **Certificates**

- Accredited ÖNORM A 7700 Auditor
- ISTQB Certified Tester
- ISAQB Certified Professional for Software Architecture
- ISSECO Certified Professional for Secure Software Engineering
- PCiIAA Practitioner Certificate in Information Assurance Architecture
- https://twitter.com/dementophobia



- Quick Introduction to OWASP SAMM
- > From the Early Stages to Mastery of Security Requirements Engineering
- Common Pitfalls to avoid
- Next Steps to improve your Security Posture




# SOFTWARE ASSURANCE MATURITY MODEL

SAMM provides an effective and measurable way for all types of organizations to analyze and improve their software security posture.

https://www.owaspsamm.org/






- Quick Introduction to OWASP SAMM
- > From the Early Stages to Mastery of Security Requirements Engineering
- Common Pitfalls to avoid
- Next Steps to improve your Security Posture



#### The Power of Security Requirements

- > On Paper: Just 1 out of 15 Security Activities in OWASP SAMM
- ➤ In Practice: The **Foundation** for many of the other Security Activities

SAMM model overview				
Governance	Design	Implementation	Verification	Operations
Strategy and Metrics	Threat Assessment	Secure Build	Architecture Assessment	Incident Management
Policy and Compliance	Security Requirements	Secure Deployment	Requirements-driven Testing	Environment Management
Education and Guidance	Security Architecture	Defect Management	Security Testing	Operational Management



#### Respect the fundamental principles

- Requirements Engineering is an established craft
- The fundamentals do apply to Security Requirements Engineering
- > Aim for full integration in your requirements engineering process

Requirements define WHAT to do, not HOW to do it

Specific

Measurable

Reasonable



#### Dipping your toe into the water

- Use the OWASP ASVS for inspiration
- Shortcut for technical requirements
- When in doubt, be more generic
- Don't neglect the fundamentals
- This is **not** a long term solution

#### Warning

This shortcut is no substitute for proper security requirements engineering in the long run!



Application Security Verification Standard 4.0 Final

#### **Ensure proper verification**

- Don't force integration into all security activities
- Ensure verification of your security requirements right from the start
- Security Requirements must not be treated as optional
- > Method of verification depends on the culture of the organization

Review Sessions across departments


Requirements-driven Security Testing

Formal Quality Gates



- Quick Introduction to OWASP SAMM
- > From the Early Stages to Mastery of Security Requirements Engineering
- Common Pitfalls to avoid
- Next Steps to improve your Security Posture

### Pitfall #1: Relying solely on vulnerability classes to avoid



#### Pitfall #2: Hiding requirements from penetration testers

- Security tests should focus on the most important areas
- > Providing security requirements helps the test team to fine-tune their approach
- This allows you to get the most out of your available budget
- > Hiding your security requirements creates a lose-lose scenario

Hiding your security requirements from the security test team is like going to your doctor for a general checkout without mentioning that you are running a marathon next week.



#### Pitfall #3: Not holding vendors to the same standards

- Security requirements must be part of the contract
- Do not assume a strong level of security is the default
- Verify the implementation of your security requirements

An attacker doesn't care whether the broken part of your application has been developed inhouse or not.

A vulnerability is a vulnerability, no matter who is responsible for this quality issue.



- Quick Introduction to OWASP SAMM
- > From the Early Stages to Mastery of Security Requirements Engineering
- > Common Pitfalls to avoid
- Next Steps to improve your Security Posture

#### **Gap Analysis and Maturity Level Assessment**

- Analyze your Software Development Lifecycle
  - Based on established security standards
  - Workshop based walk-through




- Assess your current status based on OWASP SAMM
- Technology and process agnostic
- Identify potentials to improve your security practices
  - Know your weak spots and improve them
  - Invest in those areas with the most security leverage











#### Roadmap to higher Software Assurance

#### Define an implementation roadmap


- Roadmap with defined checkpoints
- Make improvements measurable

#### Increase the maturity level over time

- Integrate security step by step
- Use success stories to drive improvements

#### Perform checkpoint assessments to track progress

- Regular assessments verify that you are still on track
- Course correction can be done early



#### **Recommended Reading**

### A deep dive into Secure Software Development based on OWASP SAMM



https://www.heise.de/hintergrund/ Sichere-Software-entwickeln-mit-OWASP-SAMM-4918292.html

https://r.sec-consult.com/SSDLC





## Q&A

### **ASK ME ANYTHING!**



**Thomas Kerbl** 

https://twitter.com/dementophobia

in https://at.linkedin.com/in/thomas-kerbl-2ab81648